

Variational principles for fluid dynamics on rough paths

James-Michael Leahy

Department of Mathematics at Imperial College London
and Applied Mathematics at University of Twente
US Air Force Office of Scientific Research IOE Grant
Dutch Research Council (NWO) SPReSto Grant
Joint with Dan Crisan, Darryl Holm, and Torstein Nilssen

May 7th, 2020

DataSig Seminar Series

- I. Variational principles in physics and fluid dynamics
- II. Parametrization schemes for fluid dynamics
- III. Notation
- IV. The Lie chain rule
- V. The Hamilton-Pontryagin principle
- VI. Kelvin circulation theorem
- VII. Incompressible Rough Euler
- VIII. Solution properties of Euler's equations
- IX. Future outlook

Lagrangian mechanics

- Let Q be a smooth configuration manifold and $L \in C^1(TQ; \mathbb{R})$.

Lagrangian mechanics

- Let Q be a smooth configuration manifold and $L \in C^1(TQ; \mathbb{R})$.
- Define the action functional $S : \Omega(q_1, q_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$S(q) = \int_a^b L(q_t, \dot{q}_t) dt,$$

where $\Omega(q_1, q_2) = \{q \in C^2([a, b]; Q) : q_a = q_1 \text{ and } q_b = q_2\}$.

Lagrangian mechanics

- Let Q be a smooth configuration manifold and $L \in C^1(TQ; \mathbb{R})$.
- Define the action functional $S : \Omega(q_1, q_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$S(q) = \int_a^b L(q_t, \dot{q}_t) dt,$$

where $\Omega(q_1, q_2) = \{q \in C^2([a, b]; Q) : q_a = q_1 \text{ and } q_b = q_2\}$.

- We say $q \in \Omega(q_1, q_2)$ is a critical point of the action functional if for all 'variations' of q , that is, $\delta q = [c.]_q \in T_q \Omega(q_1, q_2)$,

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} S(c_\epsilon) \right|_{\epsilon=0} = \mathbf{d}S(q) \cdot \delta q = 0.$$

In a local trivialization chart of TQ ,

$$c_\epsilon(t) = q_t + \epsilon \delta q_t, \quad \text{with } \delta q \in C^2([a, b]; Q), \quad \delta q_a = \delta q_b = 0.$$

Hamilton's principle

Theorem

A curve q is a critical point of S iff in a local trivialization chart of TQ

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(q, \dot{q}) \right] = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}(q, \dot{q}).$$

These equations are called the Euler-Lagrange equations. They are a system of second-order ODEs if $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i \partial \dot{q}^i}$ has non-vanishing determinant.

Hamilton's principle

Theorem

A curve q is a critical point of S iff in a local trivialization chart of TQ

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(q, \dot{q}) \right] = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}(q, \dot{q}).$$

These equations are called the Euler-Lagrange equations. They are a system of second-order ODEs if $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i \partial \dot{q}^i}$ has non-vanishing determinant.

Proof.

Integrating by parts and using that $\delta q_a = \delta q_b = 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}S \cdot \delta q &= \int_a^b \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}(q, \dot{q}) \delta q^i + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(q, \dot{q}) \frac{d}{dt} \delta q^i \right) dt \\ &= \int_a^b \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}(q, \dot{q}) - \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(q, \dot{q}) \right] \right) \delta q^i dt. \end{aligned}$$

□

Newtonian and Hamiltonian systems

- A Newtonian potential system of N point masses in \mathbb{R}^d is equivalent to the Euler-Lagrange equations with $Q = \mathbb{R}^{dN}$, $TQ = \mathbb{R}^{2dN}$, and

$$L(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}) = \sum_{n=1}^N m_n \frac{1}{2} |\dot{\mathbf{q}}_n|^2 - V(\mathbf{q}).$$

Indeed,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{q}}^n}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{q}_n}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad m_n \ddot{\mathbf{q}}_n(t) = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial \mathbf{q}_n}(\mathbf{q}_t)$$

Newtonian and Hamiltonian systems

- A Newtonian potential system of N point masses in \mathbb{R}^d is equivalent to the Euler-Lagrange equations with $Q = \mathbb{R}^{dN}$, $TQ = \mathbb{R}^{2dN}$, and

$$L(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}) = \sum_{n=1}^N m_n \frac{1}{2} |\dot{\mathbf{q}}_n|^2 - V(\mathbf{q}).$$

Indeed,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{q}}^n}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{q}_n}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad m_n \ddot{\mathbf{q}}_n(t) = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial \mathbf{q}_n}(\mathbf{q}_t)$$

- One passes to Hamiltonian dynamics via the Legendre transformation to get

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{p}}, \quad \dot{\mathbf{p}} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{q}},$$

where

$$H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \sup_{\dot{\mathbf{q}}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}} - L(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}})) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{2m_n} |\dot{\mathbf{p}}_n|^2 + V(\mathbf{q}).$$

Geodesic equation

- Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold with volume form μ_g .
- Define $S : \Omega(q_1, q_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$S(q) = E(q) = \int_a^b L(\dot{q}_t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b g_{q_t}(\dot{q}_t, \dot{q}_t) dt.$$

Geodesic equation

- Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold with volume form μ_g .
- Define $S : \Omega(q_1, q_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$S(q) = E(q) = \int_a^b L(\dot{q}_t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b g_{q_t}(\dot{q}_t, \dot{q}_t) dt.$$

- The Euler-Lagrange equation is the geodesic equation

$$\frac{d^2 q^a}{dt^2} + \Gamma_{bc}^a \frac{dq^b}{dt} \frac{dq^c}{dt} = 0,$$

where Γ is the Christoffel symbol. Alternatively,

$$\nabla_{\dot{q}} \dot{q} = 0,$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection.

Geodesic equation

- Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold with volume form μ_g .
- Define $S : \Omega(q_1, q_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$S(q) = E(q) = \int_a^b L(\dot{q}_t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b g_{q_t}(\dot{q}_t, \dot{q}_t) dt.$$

- The Euler-Lagrange equation is the geodesic equation

$$\frac{d^2 q^a}{dt^2} + \Gamma_{bc}^a \frac{dq^b}{dt} \frac{dq^c}{dt} = 0,$$

where Γ is the Christoffel symbol. Alternatively,

$$\nabla_{\dot{q}} \dot{q} = 0,$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection.

- Geodesics are not always global minimizers of the energy functional, but they are local minimizers.

Lie groups

- Let G be a Lie group with identity e and Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G$. For example, $(G = \mathrm{GL}(d), \mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Mat}(d))$ or $(G = \mathrm{SO}(d), \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(d))$.

Lie groups

- Let G be a Lie group with identity e and Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G$. For example, $(G = \mathrm{GL}(d), \mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Mat}(d))$ or $(G = \mathrm{SO}(d), \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(d))$.
- Assume that the Lagrangian is right-invariant under the group action:

$$L(g, \dot{g}) = L(e, \dot{g}g^{-1}) =: \ell(u), \quad u = \dot{g}g^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Lie groups

- Let G be a Lie group with identity e and Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G$. For example, $(G = \text{GL}(d), \mathfrak{g} = \text{Mat}(d))$ or $(G = \text{SO}(d), \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(d))$.
- Assume that the Lagrangian is right-invariant under the group action:

$$L(g, \dot{g}) = L(e, \dot{g}g^{-1}) =: \ell(u), \quad u = \dot{g}g^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

- Let \mathfrak{g}^* denote the dual of \mathfrak{g} and denote $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Lie groups

- Let G be a Lie group with identity e and Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G$. For example, $(G = \mathrm{GL}(d), \mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Mat}(d))$ or $(G = \mathrm{SO}(d), \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(d))$.
- Assume that the Lagrangian is right-invariant under the group action:

$$L(g, \dot{g}) = L(e, \dot{g}g^{-1}) =: \ell(u), \quad u = \dot{g}g^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

- Let \mathfrak{g}^* be denote the dual of \mathfrak{g} and denote $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- Assume that $\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a diffeomorphism, where

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} \ell(u + \epsilon \delta u) = \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}(u), \delta u \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} \quad \forall \delta u \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Reducing variations to the Lie algebra

Let $g \in \Omega(g_1, g_2)$ and $\delta g = [c.]_g \in T_g \Omega(g_1, g_2)$. Set

$$u = \dot{g}g^{-1} \in C^1([a, b]; \mathfrak{g}), \quad \delta w = \delta \dot{g}g^{-1} \in C^1([a, b]; \mathfrak{g}),$$

and

$$\delta u_t = \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} \dot{c}_\epsilon(t) c_\epsilon^{-1}(t) \in C^1([a, b]; \mathfrak{g}).$$

Lemma

If

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} c_\epsilon(t) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \frac{d}{dt} c_\epsilon(t), \tag{1}$$

then

$$\delta u_t = \delta \dot{w}_t - \text{ad}_{u_t} \delta w_t = \delta \dot{w}_t + [u_t, \delta w_t].$$

Euler-Poincaré reduction

Theorem

For a curve $g \in \Omega(g_1, g_2)$ with $u = \dot{g}g^{-1} \in C^1([a, b]; \mathfrak{g})$, TFAE

- g satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equations;
- g is a critical point of $S(g) = \int_a^b L(g_t, \dot{g}_t) dt$;
- u satisfies the Euler-Poincaré equations:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} + \text{ad}_u^* \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} = 0;$$

- $(g, u, \lambda = \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}(u))$ is a critical point of

$$S(g, u, \lambda) = \int_a^b \ell(u_t) + \langle \lambda_t, \dot{g}_t g_t^{-1} - u_t \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}};$$

- u is a critical point of $S(u) = \int_a^b \ell(u_t) dt$ with variations of the form

$$\delta u_t = \delta \dot{w}(t) - \text{ad}_{u_t} \delta w(t).$$

[Arnold, 1966] topological hydrodynamics

- Let $G = \text{Diff}_{\mu_g}^s$ be the group of Sobolev diffeomorphisms, $s > d/2 + 1$, on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with volume form μ_g .

[Arnold, 1966] topological hydrodynamics

- Let $G = \text{Diff}_{\mu_g}^s$ be the group of Sobolev diffeomorphisms, $s > d/2 + 1$, on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with volume form μ_g .
- $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G = \mathfrak{X}_{\text{div}}^s$ is the space of divergence-free vector fields [Ebin and Marsden, 1970, Theorem 4.2].

[Arnold, 1966] topological hydrodynamics

- Let $G = \text{Diff}_{\mu_g}^s$ be the group of Sobolev diffeomorphisms, $s > d/2 + 1$, on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with volume form μ_g .
- $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G = \mathfrak{X}_{\text{div}}^s$ is the space of divergence-free vector fields [Ebin and Marsden, 1970, Theorem 4.2].
- We endow $\text{Diff}_{\mu_g}^s$ with the right-invariant (weak/not-complete) metric

$$\langle U, V \rangle_{\eta} = \int_M g_{\eta(m)}(U(m), V(m)) \mu_g(m) = \langle U\eta^{-1}, V\eta^{-1} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

where the right-hand-side is the L^2 -inner product on vector fields

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \int_M g_m(u(m), v(m)) \mu_g(m).$$

[Arnold, 1966] topological hydrodynamics

- Let $G = \text{Diff}_{\mu_g}^s$ be the group of Sobolev diffeomorphisms, $s > d/2 + 1$, on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with volume form μ_g .
- $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G = \mathfrak{X}_{\text{div}}^s$ is the space of divergence-free vector fields [Ebin and Marsden, 1970, Theorem 4.2].
- We endow $\text{Diff}_{\mu_g}^s$ with the right-invariant (weak/not-complete) metric

$$\langle U, V \rangle_{\eta} = \int_M g_{\eta(m)}(U(m), V(m)) \mu_g(m) = \langle U\eta^{-1}, V\eta^{-1} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

where the right-hand-side is the L^2 -inner product on vector fields

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \int_M g_m(u(m), v(m)) \mu_g(m).$$

- [Ebin and Marsden, 1970] showed there exists a smooth Levi-Civita connection $\bar{\nabla} = P\nabla$ (where $P : \mathfrak{X}^s \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\text{div}}^s$) and geodesic spray:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Euler-Lagrange} & u = \dot{\eta}\eta^{-1} & \text{Euler-Poincare} \\ P\nabla_{\dot{\eta}}\dot{\eta} = 0 & \Leftrightarrow & \partial_t u + \nabla_u u = -\nabla p. \end{array}$$

Parametrization through Euler-Poincare [Holm, 2015]

- Assume a decomposition of the form

$$\dot{g}_t = u_t g_t + \sum_{k=1}^K \xi_k g_t \dot{z}_t^k,$$

where u models coarse-scales and $\sum_k \xi_k \dot{z}^k$ models fast-scales.

Parametrization through Euler-Poincare [Holm, 2015]

- Assume a decomposition of the form

$$\dot{g}_t = u_t g_t + \sum_{k=1}^K \xi_k g_t \dot{z}_t^k,$$

where u models coarse-scales and $\sum_k \xi_k \dot{z}^k$ models fast-scales.

- Require that $(g, u, \lambda = \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}(u))$ is a critical point of

$$S(g, u, \lambda) = \int_0^T \ell(u_t) + \langle \lambda_t, \dot{g}_t g_t^{-1} - u_t - \sum_{k=1}^K \xi_k \dot{z}_t^k \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

to derive an equation for u .

Parametrization through Euler-Poincare [Holm, 2015]

- Assume a decomposition of the form

$$\dot{g}_t = u_t g_t + \sum_{k=1}^K \xi_k g_t \dot{z}_t^k,$$

where u models coarse-scales and $\sum_k \xi_k \dot{z}^k$ models fast-scales.

- Require that $(g, u, \lambda = \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}(u))$ is a critical point of

$$S(g, u, \lambda) = \int_0^T \ell(u_t) + \langle \lambda_t, \dot{g}_t g_t^{-1} - u_t - \sum_{k=1}^K \xi_k \dot{z}_t^k \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

to derive an equation for u .

- Preserves geometric structure for momentum $\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}$.

Parametrization through Euler-Poincaré [Holm, 2015]

- Assume a decomposition of the form

$$\dot{g}_t = u_t g_t + \sum_{k=1}^K \xi_k g_t \dot{z}_t^k,$$

where u models coarse-scales and $\sum_k \xi_k \dot{z}^k$ models fast-scales.

- Require that $(g, u, \lambda = \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}(u))$ is a critical point of

$$S(g, u, \lambda) = \int_0^T \ell(u_t) + \langle \lambda_t, \dot{g}_t g_t^{-1} - u_t - \sum_{k=1}^K \xi_k \dot{z}_t^k \rangle_g$$

to derive an equation for u .

- Preserves geometric structure for momentum $\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}$.
- Equivalently, u satisfies the Euler-Poincaré equations:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} \right] + \text{ad}_u^* \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} + \sum_{k=1}^K \left(\text{ad}_{\xi_k}^* \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} \right) \dot{z}_t^k = 0.$$

Stochastic advection by Lie transport [Holm, 2015]

Letting $G = \text{Diff}_\mu^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{X}_{\text{div}}^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$, and

$$\ell(u) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |u(x)|^2 \mu(x)$$

with $z_t^k = B_t^k$, $1 \leq k \leq K$, independent Brownian motions, we find

$$du^i + u^j \partial_{x^j} u^i dt + \sum_{k=1}^K (\xi^j \partial_{x^j} u^i + u^j \partial_{x^i} \xi^j) \circ dB_t^k = -\partial_{x^i} dp.$$

in the standard coordinate system with $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$.

Stochastic advection by Lie transport [Holm, 2015]

Letting $G = \text{Diff}_\mu^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{X}_{\text{div}}^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$, and

$$\ell(u) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |u(x)|^2 \mu(x)$$

with $z_t^k = B_t^k$, $1 \leq k \leq K$, independent Brownian motions, we find

$$du^i + u^j \partial_{x^j} u^i dt + \sum_{k=1}^K (\xi^j \partial_{x^j} u^i + u^j \partial_{x^i} \xi^j) \circ dB_t^k = -\partial_{x^i} dp.$$

in the standard coordinate system with $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$.

If we denote by u^b the one-form associated with u , we find

$$du^b + \mathcal{L}_u u^b dt + \mathcal{L}_\xi u^b \circ dB_t = -\mathbf{d}dp,$$

and hence the vorticity two-form $\omega = \mathbf{d}u^b$ satisfies

$$d\omega + \mathcal{L}_u \omega dt + \mathcal{L}_\xi \omega \circ dB_t = 0.$$

Geometric rough paths

Definition

For a given $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$, we say

$$\mathbf{Z} = (z, \mathbb{Z}) \in C_T^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^K) \times C_{2,T}^{2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{K \times K})$$

is \mathbb{R}^K -valued α -Hölder geometric rough path if there exists

$$\mathbf{Z}^{(N)} = \left(z_t^{(N)}, \mathbb{Z}_{st}^{(N)} := \int_s^t \int_s^r dz_{r_2}^{(N)} \otimes dz_r^{(N)} \right) \in C_T^1(\mathbb{R}^K) \times C_{2,T}^1(\mathbb{R}^{K \times K})$$

$$\text{such that } \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s < t \leq T} \frac{|\delta z_{st}^{(N)} - \delta \mathbb{Z}_{st}^{(N)}|}{|t-s|^\alpha} + \sup_{0 \leq s < t \leq T} \frac{|\mathbb{Z}_{st} - \mathbb{Z}_{st}^{(N)}|}{|t-s|^{2\alpha}} = 0.$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{G}_{g,T}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^K)$ the space of geometric rough paths.

Examples include Stratonovich Brownian motion, fractional Brownian motion, and Gaussian processes with sufficient time-correlation decay on a set of full probability measure.

Controlled rough paths and the rough integral

Definition

For a given Fréchet space E and $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathfrak{C}_{g,T}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^K)$, we let $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{Z},T}(E)$ denote the space of $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, Y') \in C_T^\alpha(E) \times C_T^\alpha(E^K)$ such

$$p(\delta Y_{st} - Y'_s \delta Z_{st}) = O(|t - s|^{2\alpha}),$$

for every seminorm p of E , endowed with the obvious topology.

Controlled rough paths and the rough integral

Definition

For a given Fréchet space E and $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathfrak{C}_{g,T}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^K)$, we let $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{Z},T}(E)$ denote the space of $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, Y') \in C_T^\alpha(E) \times C_T^\alpha(E^K)$ such

$$p(\delta Y_{st} - Y'_s \delta Z_{st}) = O(|t - s|^{2\alpha}),$$

for every seminorm p of E , endowed with the obvious topology.

Theorem (Young/Sewing)

There exists a (unique) continuous linear map $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{Z}} : \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{Z},T}(E^K) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{Z},T}(E)$ such that $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Y}) = (\int_0^\cdot \mathbf{Y} d\mathbf{Z}, Y)$ where $\int_0^0 \mathbf{Y} d\mathbf{Z} = 0_E$ and

$$p \left(\int_s^t \mathbf{Y}_r d\mathbf{Z}_r - Y_s \delta Z_{st} - Y'_s \mathbf{Z}_{st} \right) = O(|t - s|^{3\alpha}).$$

Truly rough path

Definition

Let us call a path $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathfrak{C}_{g,T}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^K)$ truly rough if for all $s \in [0, T]$ and t in a dense set

$$\limsup_{t \downarrow s} \frac{|\delta \mathbf{Z}_{st}|}{|t-s|^{2\alpha}} = \infty.$$

Lemma

A decomposition of a path $X \in C_T^\alpha(E)$ of the form

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \beta_s ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s d\mathbf{Z}_s$$

is unique.

*

- Let M be a compact boundaryless d -dimensional real manifold.

Manifolds and the tensor bundles

- Let M be a compact boundaryless d -dimensional real manifold.
- Let \mathfrak{X} denote the space of smooth vector fields

Manifolds and the tensor bundles

- Let M be a compact boundaryless d -dimensional real manifold.
- Let \mathfrak{X} denote the space of smooth vector fields
- Let Ω^k denote the space of smooth alternating k -forms

Manifolds and the tensor bundles

- Let M be a compact boundaryless d -dimensional real manifold.
- Let \mathfrak{X} denote the space of smooth vector fields
- Let Ω^k denote the space of smooth alternating k -forms
- Let \mathcal{T}_s^r denote the space of smooth r -contravariant and s -covariant tensors.

Manifolds and the tensor bundles

- Let M be a compact boundaryless d -dimensional real manifold.
- Let \mathfrak{X} denote the space of smooth vector fields
- Let Ω^k denote the space of smooth alternating k -forms
- Let \mathcal{T}_s^r denote the space of smooth r -contravariant and s -covariant tensors.
- For a given $\phi \in \text{Diff}$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_s^r$, let $\phi^*\tau$ denote the pullback and $\phi_*\tau = (\phi^{-1})^*\tau$ denote the pushforward.

The Lie derivative of $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_s^r$ along $u \in C_T(\mathfrak{X})$ is defined by

$$\frac{d}{dr} \Big|_{r=t} \phi_{rt}^* \tau = \mathcal{L}_{u_t} \tau, \quad \text{where} \quad \dot{\phi}_{ts} = u_t(\phi_{ts}), \quad \phi_{ss} = \text{id}.$$

Lie derivative

The Lie derivative of $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_s^r$ along $u \in C_T(\mathfrak{X})$ is defined by

$$\frac{d}{dr} \Big|_{r=t} \phi_{rt}^* \tau = \mathcal{L}_u \tau, \quad \text{where} \quad \dot{\phi}_{ts} = u_t(\phi_{ts}), \quad \phi_{ss} = \text{id}.$$

For $f \in \Omega^0$, $u, v \in \mathfrak{X}$, $\alpha \in \Omega^1$, and non-vanishing $\mu \in \Omega^d$

$$\mathcal{L}_u f = \mathbf{d}f(u) = u_i^i \partial_{x^i} f, \quad \mathcal{L}_u v = [u, v] = (u^j \partial_{x^j} v^i - v^j \partial_{x^j} u^i) \partial_{x^i},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_u \alpha = (u^j \partial_{x^j} \alpha_i + \alpha_j \partial_{x^i} u^j) dx^i, \quad \mathcal{L}_u \mu = (\text{div}_\mu u) \mu.$$

Theorem

There exists a continuous map

$$\text{Flow} : C_T^\alpha(\mathfrak{X}) \times C_T^\infty(\mathfrak{X}^K) \times \mathfrak{C}_{g,T}(\mathbb{R}^K) \rightarrow C_{2,T}^\alpha(\text{Diff})$$

- such that $\eta = \text{Flow}(u, \xi, \mathbf{Z})$ satisfies

$$\eta_{ts} = \eta_{t\theta} \circ \eta_{\theta s}, \quad \forall (t, s) \in [0, T]^2;$$

- for all $(s, m) \in [0, T] \times M$, $\eta_{\cdot s}(m) \in C^\alpha([s, T]; M)$ is the unique solution of

$$d\eta_{ts}(m) = u_t(\eta_{ts}(m)) dt + \xi_t(\eta_{ts}(m)) d\mathbf{Z}_t, \quad \phi_{ss}(m) = m \in M;$$

Theorem

There exists a continuous map

$$\text{Flow} : C_T^\alpha(\mathfrak{X}) \times C_T^\infty(\mathfrak{X}^K) \times \mathfrak{C}_{g,T}(\mathbb{R}^K) \rightarrow C_{2,T}^\alpha(\text{Diff})$$

- such that $\eta = \text{Flow}(u, \xi, \mathbf{Z})$ satisfies

$$\eta_{ts} = \eta_{t\theta} \circ \eta_{\theta s}, \quad \forall (t, s) \in [0, T]^2;$$

- for all $(s, m) \in [0, T] \times M$, $\eta_{\cdot s}(m) \in C^\alpha([s, T]; M)$ is the unique solution of

$$d\eta_{ts}(m) = u_t(\eta_{ts}(m)) dt + \xi_t(\eta_{ts}(m)) d\mathbf{Z}_t, \quad \phi_{ss}(m) = m \in M;$$

that is, for all smooth $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\Leftrightarrow \eta_{ts}^* f(m) = f(m) + \int_s^t \eta_{rs}^* \mathcal{L}_{u_r} f(m) dr + \int_s^t \eta_{rs}^* \mathcal{L}_{\xi_r} f(m) d\mathbf{Z}_r.$$

The Lie chain rule

Lemma

- Let $\beta \in C_T(\mathcal{T}_s^r)$, $\sigma = (\sigma, \sigma') \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{Z},T}((\mathcal{T}_s^r)^K)$, and

$$\tau_t = \tau_0 + \int_0^t \beta_s \, ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s \, d\mathbf{Z}_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Then

$$\eta_{ts}^* \tau_t = \tau_s + \int_s^t \eta_{rs}^* (\beta_r + \mathcal{L}_{u_r} \tau_r) \, dr + \int_0^t \eta_{rs}^* (\sigma_r + \mathcal{L}_{\xi_r} \tau_r) \, d\mathbf{Z}_r.$$

Lemma

- Let $\beta \in C_T(\mathcal{T}_s^r)$, $\sigma = (\sigma, \sigma') \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{Z},T}((\mathcal{T}_s^r)^K)$, and

$$\tau_t = \tau_0 + \int_0^t \beta_s \, ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s \, d\mathbf{Z}_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Then

$$\eta_{ts}^* \tau_t = \tau_s + \int_s^t \eta_{rs}^* (\beta_r + \mathcal{L}_{u_r} \tau_r) \, dr + \int_0^t \eta_{rs}^* (\sigma_r + \mathcal{L}_{\xi_r} \tau_r) \, d\mathbf{Z}_r.$$

- For a given $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{T}_s^r$, $\tau_t = \eta_{t0^*} \tau_0$ satisfies

$$\tau_t + \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_{u_s} \tau_s \, ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_{\xi_s} \tau_s \, d\mathbf{Z}_s = \tau_0.$$

Momentum and the coadjoint operator

- Recall that if $G = \text{Diff}$, then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{X}$. We want a canonical characterization of \mathfrak{g}^* , where 'momentum' $\delta\ell/\delta\mu$ will lie.

Momentum and the coadjoint operator

- Recall that if $G = \text{Diff}$, then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{X}$. We want a canonical characterization of \mathfrak{g}^* , where 'momentum' $\delta\ell/\delta\mu$ will lie.
- Let $\mathfrak{X}^\vee = \Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^d$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} : \mathfrak{X}^\vee \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$\langle \alpha \otimes \mu, u \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} = \int_M \alpha(u) \mu, \quad \alpha \otimes \mu \in \mathfrak{X}^\vee, \quad u \in \mathfrak{X};$$

Momentum and the coadjoint operator

- Recall that if $G = \text{Diff}$, then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{X}$. We want a canonical characterization of \mathfrak{g}^* , where 'momentum' $\delta\ell/\delta\mu$ will lie.
- Let $\mathfrak{X}^\vee = \Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^d$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} : \mathfrak{X}^\vee \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$\langle \alpha \otimes \mu, u \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} = \int_M \alpha(u)\mu, \quad \alpha \otimes \mu \in \mathfrak{X}^\vee, \quad u \in \mathfrak{X};$$

- Noting that $\text{ad}_u v = -[u, v] = -\mathcal{L}_u v$, for all $\alpha \otimes \mu \in \mathfrak{X}^\vee$ and $u \in \mathfrak{X}$, we find

$$\langle \alpha \otimes \mu, \text{ad}_u v \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} = \langle \mathcal{L}_u(\alpha \otimes \mu), v \rangle,$$

and hence the co-adjoint operator is

$$\text{ad}_u^* = \mathcal{L}_u.$$

Momentum and the coadjoint operator

- Recall that if $G = \text{Diff}$, then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{X}$. We want a canonical characterization of \mathfrak{g}^* , where 'momentum' $\delta\ell/\delta\mu$ will lie.
- Let $\mathfrak{X}^\vee = \Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^d$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} : \mathfrak{X}^\vee \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$\langle \alpha \otimes \mu, u \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} = \int_M \alpha(u)\mu, \quad \alpha \otimes \mu \in \mathfrak{X}^\vee, \quad u \in \mathfrak{X};$$

- Noting that $\text{ad}_u v = -[u, v] = -\mathcal{L}_u v$, for all $\alpha \otimes \mu \in \mathfrak{X}^\vee$ and $u \in \mathfrak{X}$, we find

$$\langle \alpha \otimes \mu, \text{ad}_u v \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} = \langle \mathcal{L}_u(\alpha \otimes \mu), v \rangle,$$

and hence the co-adjoint operator is

$$\text{ad}_u^* = \mathcal{L}_u.$$

- In the incompressible setting on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) , we define the dual of the μ_g -divergence-free vector fields \mathfrak{X}_{μ_g} to be

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\mu_g}^\vee = \mathfrak{X}^\vee / \mathbf{d}\Omega^0 \otimes \mu_g.$$

Advected variables and the diamond operator

- Let \mathfrak{A} be a direct summand of tensors field bundles and \mathfrak{A}^\vee denote the canonical dual. Denote the duality pairing by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{A}^\vee \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Advected variables and the diamond operator

- Let \mathfrak{A} be a direct summand of tensors field bundles and \mathfrak{A}^\vee denote the canonical dual. Denote the duality pairing by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{A}^\vee \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- Paths in \mathfrak{A} will model variables like temperature, density, or buoyancy.

Advected variables and the diamond operator

- Let \mathfrak{A} be a direct summand of tensors field bundles and \mathfrak{A}^\vee denote the canonical dual. Denote the duality pairing by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{A}^\vee \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- Paths in \mathfrak{A} will model variables like temperature, density, or buoyancy.
- Define $\diamond : \mathfrak{A}^\vee \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^\vee$ by

$$\langle b, \mathcal{L}_u a \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}} = -\langle b \diamond a, u \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} \quad \forall a \in \mathfrak{A}, b \in \mathfrak{A}^\vee, u \in \mathfrak{X}$$

The Lagrangian

- Let $\ell : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^\vee$ and $\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a} : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^\vee$ are continuous:

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} \ell(u + \epsilon \delta u, a + \epsilon \delta a) = \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}(u, a), \delta u \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} + \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a}(u, a), \delta a \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{A}}$$

- Assume that $\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}(\cdot, a) : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^\vee$ is an isomorphism for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.

The Lagrangian

- Let $\ell : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^\vee$ and $\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a} : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^\vee$ are continuous:

$$\frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \ell(u + \epsilon \delta u, a + \epsilon \delta a) = \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}(u, a), \delta u \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} + \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a}(u, a), \delta a \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{A}}$$

- Assume that $\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}(\cdot, a) : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^\vee$ is an isomorphism for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.
- Density $D = \rho \mu_g \in \mathfrak{A} = \Omega^d$ is an advected variable. Let

$$\ell(u, D) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \rho g(u, u) \mu_g = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \rho u^b(u) \mu_g = \frac{1}{2} \langle u^b \otimes \rho \mu_g, u \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}.$$

Then

$$\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} = u^b \otimes \rho \mu_g \in \mathfrak{X}^\vee, \quad \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta D} = \frac{1}{2} g(u, u) \in \mathfrak{A}^\vee = \Omega^0.$$

Hamilton-Pontryagin (HP) variational principle: flows

- Let $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathfrak{C}_{g,T}(\mathbb{R}^K)$ be truly rough and

$$\text{Diff}_{\mathbf{Z}} = \text{Flow}(C_T^\alpha(\mathfrak{X}), C_T^\infty(\mathfrak{X}^K), \mathbf{Z})_{\cdot 0}.$$

- A given $\eta = \text{Flow}(v, \sigma, \mathbf{Z}) \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ satisfies

$$d\eta_t = v_t(\eta_t) dt + \sigma_t(\eta_t) d\mathbf{Z}_t, \quad \eta_0 = \text{id}.$$

- For given $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_{Z,T}(\mathfrak{X}^\vee)$, define

$$\int_0^T \langle \lambda_t, d\eta_t \eta_t^{-1} \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} := \int_0^T \langle \lambda_t, v_t \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} dt + \int_0^T \langle \lambda_t, \sigma_t \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} d\mathbf{Z}_t.$$

HP variational principle: functional and constraints

- Define the action functional $S^{HPz} : \text{Diff}_Z \times C_T^\alpha(\mathfrak{X}) \times \mathfrak{D}_{Z,T}(\mathfrak{X}^\vee) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$S^{HPz}(\eta, u, \lambda) = \int_0^T \ell(u_t, \eta_{t*} a_0) dt + \langle \lambda_t, d\eta_t \eta_t^{-1} - u_t dt - \xi dZ_t \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}.$$

HP variational principle: functional and constraints

- Define the action functional $S^{HPz} : \text{Diff}_Z \times C_T^\alpha(\mathfrak{X}) \times \mathfrak{D}_{Z,T}(\mathfrak{X}^\vee) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$S^{HPz}(\eta, u, \lambda) = \int_0^T \ell(u_t, \eta_{t*} a_0) dt + \langle \lambda_t, d\eta_t \eta_t^{-1} - u_t dt - \xi dZ_t \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}.$$

- The Lagrange constraint imposes

$$d\eta_t = u_t(\eta_t) dt + \xi(\eta_t) dZ_t$$

and hence by the Lie chain rule

$$da_t + \mathcal{E}_{u_t} a_t dt + \mathcal{E}_\xi a_t dZ_t = 0.$$

HP variational principle: functional and constraints

- Define the action functional $S^{HPz} : \text{Diff}_{\mathbf{Z}} \times C_T^\alpha(\mathfrak{X}) \times \mathfrak{D}_{Z,T}(\mathfrak{X}^\vee) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$S^{HPz}(\eta, u, \lambda) = \int_0^T \ell(u_t, \eta_{t*} a_0) dt + \langle \lambda_t, d\eta_t \eta_t^{-1} - u_t dt - \xi d\mathbf{Z}_t \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}.$$

- The Lagrange constraint imposes

$$d\eta_t = u_t(\eta_t) dt + \xi(\eta_t) d\mathbf{Z}_t$$

and hence by the Lie chain rule

$$da_t + \mathcal{E}_{u_t} a_t dt + \mathcal{E}_{\xi} a_t d\mathbf{Z}_t = 0.$$

- The Clebsch variational principle, which I am not presenting, directly imposes the advection relation. We can avoid the assumption of truly roughness in this case due to the fundamental theorem of rough calculus of variations.

HP variational principle: variations

- For a given $\delta w \in C_T^\infty(\mathfrak{X})$ with $\delta w_0 = \delta w_T = 0$, define $\psi : (-1, 1) \times [0, T] \rightarrow \text{Diff}$ by

$$\dot{\psi}_t^\epsilon(m) = \epsilon \dot{\delta w}_t(\psi_t^\epsilon(m)), \quad \psi_0^\epsilon(m) = m.$$

and $\eta_t^\epsilon = \psi_t^\epsilon \circ \eta_t$ and it can be shown that

$$d\eta_t^\epsilon = \left(\delta \dot{w}_t + \text{ad}_{v_t} \delta w_t \right) dt + \text{ad}_{\sigma_t} \delta w_t dZ_t.$$

HP variational principle: variations

- For a given $\delta w \in C_T^\infty(\mathfrak{X})$ with $\delta w_0 = \delta w_T = 0$, define $\psi : (-1, 1) \times [0, T] \rightarrow \text{Diff}$ by

$$\dot{\psi}_t^\epsilon(m) = \epsilon \dot{\delta w}_t(\psi_t^\epsilon(m)), \quad \psi_0^\epsilon(m) = m.$$

and $\eta_t^\epsilon = \psi_t^\epsilon \circ \eta_t$ and it can be shown that

$$d\eta_t^\epsilon = \left(\delta \dot{w}_t + \text{ad}_{v_t} \delta w_t \right) dt + \text{ad}_{\sigma_t} \delta w_t dZ_t.$$

- We take variations of u and λ of the form $u_\epsilon = u + \epsilon \delta u$ and $\lambda_\epsilon = \lambda + \epsilon \delta \lambda$ with

$$\delta u_0 = \delta u_T = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta \lambda_0 = \delta \lambda_T = 0.$$

Theorem ([Crisan et al., 2020b])

A curve (η, u, λ) is a critical point of S^{HPz} iff for all $[0, T]$,

$$d\eta_t = u_t(\eta_t)dt + \xi(\eta_t)d\mathbf{Z}_t, \quad t \in (0, T], \quad \eta_0 = \text{id},$$

$$m = \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}(u, a) = \lambda,$$

$$m_t + \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_{u_s} m_s ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_{\xi} m_s d\mathbf{Z}_s \stackrel{\mathfrak{X}^\vee}{=} m_0 + \int_0^t \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a}(u_s, a_s) \diamond a_s ds,$$

$$a_t + \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_{u_s} a_s ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_{\xi} a_s d\mathbf{Z}_s \stackrel{\mathfrak{A}}{=} a_0, \quad a_t = \eta_{t*} a_0.$$

A Kelvin circulation theorem

Recall that density $D \in C_T^\alpha(\Omega^d)$ is an advected variable:

$$dD + \mathcal{L}_u D dt + \mathcal{L}_\xi D d\mathbf{Z}_t = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad D_t = \eta_{t*} D_0.$$

Moreover, $\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}, \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a} \in \Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^d$, so that $\frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}, \frac{1}{D} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a} \in \Omega^1$ are one-forms.

Theorem

Let Γ denote a compactly embedded one-dimensional smooth submanifold of M . If D_0 is non-vanishing, then

$$\int_{\eta_t \Gamma} \frac{1}{D_t} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}(u_t, a_t) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{D_0} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}(u_0, a_0) + \int_0^t \int_{\eta_s \Gamma} \frac{1}{D_s} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a}(u_s, a_s) \diamond a_s ds.$$

Incompressible Euler

Define the Lagrangian $\ell : \mathfrak{X}_{\mu_g} \times \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\ell(u, D = \rho\mu_g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \rho g(u, u) \mu_g.$$

Applying $\frac{1}{\rho\mu_g}$ to the momentum equation (i.e., $\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u}$) we get

$$du_t^b + \mathcal{L}_{u_t} u_t^b dt + \mathcal{L}_{\xi} u_t^b d\mathbf{Z}_t \stackrel{\Omega^1}{=} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{d}g(u_t, u_t) dt - \frac{1}{\rho_t} \mathbf{d}p_t dt - \frac{1}{\rho_t} \mathbf{d}q_t d\mathbf{Z}_t,$$

$$\mathbf{d}^* u^b = 0 = \operatorname{div} u,$$

$$d\rho_t + \mathcal{L}_{u_t} \rho_t dt + \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \rho_t d\mathbf{Z}_t \stackrel{\Omega^0}{=} 0.$$

Incompressible Euler

Define the Lagrangian $\ell : \mathfrak{X}_{\mu_g} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\ell(u, D = \rho\mu_g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \rho g(u, u) \mu_g.$$

Applying $\frac{1}{\rho\mu_g}$ to the momentum equation (i.e., $\frac{\delta\ell}{\delta u}$) we get

$$du_t^b + \mathcal{L}_{u_t} u_t^b dt + \mathcal{L}_\xi u_t^b d\mathbf{Z}_t \stackrel{\Omega^1}{=} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{d}g(u_t, u_t) dt - \frac{1}{\rho_t} \mathbf{d}p_t dt - \frac{1}{\rho_t} \mathbf{d}q_t d\mathbf{Z}_t,$$

$$\mathbf{d}^* u^b = 0 = \operatorname{div} u,$$

$$d\rho_t + \mathcal{L}_{u_t} \rho_t dt + \mathcal{L}_\xi \rho_t d\mathbf{Z}_t \stackrel{\Omega^0}{=} 0.$$

In the special case $\rho \equiv 1$ (homogeneous fluid), we find

$$du_t + \mathcal{L}_{u_t} u_t^b dt + \mathcal{L}_\xi u_t^b d\mathbf{Z}_t \stackrel{\Omega^1}{=} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{d}g(u_t, u_t) dt - \mathbf{d}p_t dt - \mathbf{d}q_t d\mathbf{Z}_t.$$

Solution properties of Euler's equations

Theorem ([Crisan et al., 2020a])

Let $m > d/2 + 1$. For given $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^K \in C_{\text{div}}^{m+3}$ and $u_0 \in W_{\text{div}}^{m,2}$, there exists a unique local maximal time $T^* = T(u_0, \xi, \mathbf{Z})$ and solution

$(u, p) \in C_{T^*} W_{\text{div}}^{m,2} \times C_{T^*}^\alpha W^{m-3,2}$ of the homogeneous rough Euler system.

Moreover, if $T^* < \infty$,

$$\int_0^{T^*} |\omega_t|_{L^\infty} dt = +\infty,$$

where $\omega = \mathbf{d}u^b$ ($\omega = \text{curl } u$).

Solution properties of Euler's equations

Theorem ([Crisan et al., 2020a])

Let $m > d/2 + 1$. For given $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^K \in C_{\text{div}}^{m+3}$ and $u_0 \in W_{\text{div}}^{m,2}$, there exists a unique local maximal time $T^* = T(u_0, \xi, \mathbf{Z})$ and solution $(u, p) \in C_{T^*} W_{\text{div}}^{m,2} \times C_{T^*}^\alpha W^{m-3,2}$ of the homogeneous rough Euler system. Moreover, if $T^* < \infty$,

$$\int_0^{T^*} |\omega_t|_{L^\infty} dt = +\infty,$$

where $\omega = \mathbf{d}u^b$ ($\omega = \text{curl } u$).

Corollary

If $d = 2$, then for all $p \geq 2$, vorticity is conserved $|\omega_t|_{L^p} = |\omega_0|_{L^p}$ and hence $T^* = \infty$.

- Numerical schemes for rough PDEs





Future outlook

- Numerical schemes for rough PDEs
- Learn ξ for Gaussian rough paths from direct numerical simulation

- Numerical schemes for rough PDEs
- Learn ξ for Gaussian rough paths from direct numerical simulation
- Filtering and data assimilation with rough paths

- Numerical schemes for rough PDEs
- Learn ξ for Gaussian rough paths from direct numerical simulation
- Filtering and data assimilation with rough paths
- Explore usage of computational rough paths, possibly GAN to determine \mathbf{Z}

- Numerical schemes for rough PDEs
- Learn ξ for Gaussian rough paths from direct numerical simulation
- Filtering and data assimilation with rough paths
- Explore usage of computational rough paths, possibly GAN to determine \mathbf{Z}
- Add data directly to variational principle

-  Arnold, V. I. (1966).
On the differential geometry of infinite-dimensional lie groups
and its application to the hydrodynamics of perfect fluids.
In Vladimir I. Arnold-Collected Works, pages 33–69. Springer.
-  Crisan, D., Holm, D. D., Leahy, J.-M., and Nilssen, T. (2020a).
Solution properties of the rough euler equation.
forthcoming.
-  Crisan, D., Holm, D. D., Leahy, J.-M., and Nilssen, T. (2020b).
Variational principles for fluid dynamics on rough paths.
arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.07829.
-  Ebin, D. G. and Marsden, J. (1970).
Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible
fluid.
Annals of Mathematics, pages 102–163.



Holm, D. D. (2015).

Variational principles for stochastic fluid dynamics.

Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 471(2176):20140963.